Instructions for STAR online

URL: https://worker.soe.ku.edu/fmi/webd/STAR

Please note!

- The Firefox browser does not work with this online form.
- You may be timed-out after 30 minutes of idle time.
- Click outside of the text box or click on any button to save your work as you go.

Login with the 4-digit passcode emailed to you by the Field Experience Coordinator.

$\bullet \bullet \bullet \checkmark \square$		🗎 worker.soe.ku.edu	¢	
iiii Amazon	n Library-Lynda Dropbox MediaHub KL	J Zoom B&H Photo How Tos v Qualtrics v STAR (master.soe.ku.edu)	Personal 🗸 KU sites 🗸 Filemaker 🗸	+
KU School of Educati	on STAR - St	udent Teacher Assessm	nent Rubric	
		irefox browser is not compatible w Irome, or Internet Explorer work ju		
	Please enter your Do not use the Re You must click the			
	Click here	to log in		
[h - Coundidate (a) to b]	·			

The <u>Candidate(s)</u> tab lists the assigned Teacher Candidate (TC). University supervisors have several, clinical supervisors will (typically) only see one.

To begin an evaluation, click the "Go to Summative" button. You will be taken to the <u>Learner and</u> <u>Learning</u> tab, the first of the Summative sections.

$\bullet \bullet \checkmark > \square$		🗎 worker.soe.ku.edu	Ċ	(î)					
	Amazon Library-Lynda Dropbox MediaHub	KU Zoom B&H Photo How Tos Y Qualtrics Y	Personal 🖌 KU sites 🖌 Filemaker 🜱						
		STAR (master.soe.ku.edu)							
Candidate(s) for Frank Wilson Vot your student(s)? Please check your passion and Revision									
		, , <u>Re logiti</u>							
Teacher Candidate	School								
Willie Clinton	Happy Dale	Go to Summative >							
Den Denne	Johnsten Elementen								
Ron Regan	Johnston Elementary	Go to Summative >							
Potty White	Johnston Elementany								
Betty White	Johnston Elementary	Go to Summative >							

Here is a comparison of the instrument original paper form and the new online form.

STAR Ratings - Learner Development (1.1)

1.1 Learner Development – Planned instruction based on learning and development of all students

	Ineffective - 0	Developing – 1	Effective - 2	Highly Effective - 2
the	The evidence indicates that the intern planned the same instruction for all students with no consideration given to students' varying developmental levels.	The evidence indicates that the intern demonstrated a partial understanding of students' developmental levels, planning instruction that aligned to the developmental levels of most (at least 50%) of the students; however, instruction was still inappropriate for specific groups of students.	The evidence indicates that the intern demonstrated an accurate understanding of students' developmental levels, planning instruction that aligned with overall subsets of student's developmental levels. (Explured needs of groups/subsets of students but could not address the individualized needs of all students.)	Othe evidence indicates that the inter- demonstrated an accurate understanding of students' developmental levels and was able to plan and articulate specific strategies for instruction aligned to the developmenta learning level of each student and groups of students.
Approaches & Resources	 The evidence indicates that the intern used instructional approaches and resources not relevant to the lesson or were inappropriate for students. 	O The evidence indicates that the intern used appropriate instructional approaches and resources to support learning goals but did not actively engage students in learning.	The evidence indicates that the intern used varied instructional approaches and resources aligned to the instructional purposes of the lesson and appropriate to support learning goals, actively engaging students in the learning.	Otherwised instructional approaches and resources aligned to the instructional purposes of the lesson and appropriate to support the learning goals, actively engaging students in the learning and providing varied options for students to demonstrate mastery.
Adaptations	The evidence indicates that the intern did not recognize the need to and/or did not attempt to provide adaptation of plans and instruction to make the lesson accessible and challenging to meet students' learning needs.	The evidence indicates that the intern recognized the need and attempted to provide adaptation of plans and instruction to make the lesson accessible to and challenging to meet the students' learning needs but the adaptation was inappropriate.	The evidence indicates that the intern recognized the need and was able to provide for appropriate adaptations of plans and instruction to support student learning needs, making learning accessible and challenging for most but not all students.	The evidence indicates that the inten- recognized the need and was able to select a variety of appropriate adaptations of plans and instruction to support students' individual learning meds to make learning accessible and challenging for all students in the diastroom.

Figure 1 Screen shot of the first Construct from the STAR training material

			STAR (mas	ter.soe.ku.edu)						
Betty White			Candidates 1. Learner 2. Content 3. Ins							
Instructions: Click on a subsection ti	tle or score box	c below to disp	blay its rubric.	Enter a score by	clicking the	button abo	ve the	rubric text.		
 Learner and Learning 										
To ensure that each student learns students bring unique individual di	new knowledg	e and skills, I	nterns must ur cess, and that	derstand that le students need s	arning and oupportive an	evelopmer safe lear	ntal pati ning en	terns vary indivi vironments to t	idually, that	
1.1 Learner Development: The 1.1a Developmentally Approp				on the learr	iing and d	evelopm	ental	levels of all Not Observe		
Ineffective = 0	Dev	veloping = 1		Effective = 2				Highly Effective = 2		
The evidence indicates that the intern planned the same instruction for all students with no consideration given to students' varying developmental levels.	intern demor understandir development instruction th development least 50%) o however, ins	The evidence indicates that the intern demonstrated a partial understanding of students' developmental levels, planning instruction that aligned to the developmental levels of most (at least 50%) of the students; however, instruction was still inappropriate for specific groups of students.			The evidence indicates that the intern demonstrated an accurate understanding of students' developmental levels, planning instruction that aligned with overall subsets of student's developmental levels. (Captured needs of groups/subsets of students but could not address the individualized needs of all students.)				es that the an accurate dents' s and was able e specific tion aligned to arning level of oups of	
1.1a Appropriate Instruction	Ν	< 1.2a Lea	irner Backgrou	nd	0	< 1.3a Ch	nild Rap	port		
< 1.1b Varied Approaches	< 1.2b Cla	< 1.2b Classroom Culture			< 1.3b Pacing/Transitions					
< 1.1c Appropriate Adaptations		< 1.3c C				Classroom Management				
1.1 Suggestions or Comments		1.2 Sugges	tions or Comm	mments 1.3 Suggestions or Comments						

Figure 2 Screen shot of the first Construct from the STAR application

The Learner and Learning tab is typical of all the evaluation tabs.

Teacher Candidate (TC) name is displayed at the top left corner throughout the assessment.

Clicking on attribute will load the associated rubric text into the boxes above. Once scored, the value will appear just to the right of its attribute. A yellow score box indicates that a score has yet to be selected.

	1.1a Developmentally Appropr	iate Ins	truc	tion: Score = N					Not Observed = N			
	Ineffective = 0		Dev	veloping = 1		Effective	e = 2		Highly Effective = 2			
	The evidence indicates that the intern planned the same instruction for all students with no consideration given to students' varying developmental levels.	intern o underst develop instruct develop least 50 howeve	demor andin ment ion th ment 0%) o r, inst priate	indicates that the strated a partial gof students' al levels, planning hat aligned to the al levels of most (at f the students; truction was still of or specific groups of		The evidence indicates that the intern demonstrated an accurate understanding of students' developmental levels, planning instruction that aligned with overall subsets of student's developmental levels. (Captured needs of groups/subsets of students but could not address the individualized needs of all students.)			The evidence indicates that the intern demonstrated an accurate understanding of students' developmental levels and was able to plan and articulate specific strategies for instruction aligned to the developmental learning level of each student and groups of students.			
Ē	< 1.1a Appropriate Instruction	N		1.2a Learner Backg	iro	und)	< 1.3a C	hild Rapport			
	< 1.1b Varied Approaches]	< 1.2b Classroom Cul	ltu	re		< 1.3b Pa	acing/Transitions			
	< 1.1c Appropriate Adaptations]					< 1.3c Cl	lassroom Management			
	1.1 Suggestions or Comments			1.2 Suggestions or Co	m	ments		1.3 Sugge	estions or Comments			

Figure 3 Attribute 1.1a has been scored N (Not observed)

To score each of the attributes you must click on one of the buttons at the top of the rubric.

	1.1a Developmentally Appro		Not Observed = N				
	Ineffective = 0		Developing = 1		Effective = 2		Highly Effective = 2
	The ouidance indicator that the	1	The ovidence indicates that the				
Fia	ure A Buttons representing	a 1	the A rubric levels plus an	or	tion for Not Observed		

Figure 4 Buttons representing the 4 rubric levels plus an option for Not Observed

The button will enter a score ranging from "Ineffective = 0" to "Highly Effective = 2." If the attribute was not witnessed, select "Not Observed = N." Text boxes are provided below each attribute to enter suggestions or comments.

The <u>Summary</u> tab provides an overview of the scores for the Teacher Candidate (TC). Again, university supervisors will see multiple candidates, clinical supervisors will typically see only one. The yellow boxes indicate that no score has been submitted for that attribute.

You can easily jump back to any attribute rubric, whether the attribute has a score or not, by clicking on its score box. The selected attribute will be active and ready for your input.

When there are multiple students, supervisors can navigate between student assessments by selecting at attribute box from the Summary tab.

Summary	Candidates	1. Learner	2. Content	3. Instructional	4. Professional	Summary	Log Out			
Instructions: Clicking on a score box will jump to the rubric. The empty yellow boxes indicate that no score has been entered for that rubric.										
1. Learner & Learning 2 1.1a 1.1b 1.1c 1.2a 1.2b 1.3a 1.3b 1.3c 2.1	Content Kno a 2.1b 2.1c	-		structional Pra 3.2a 3.2b	ctice 3.2c 3.3a 3.3		fessional b 4.2a 4.2b	Checking Done indicates that you have completed your evaluation.		
Abby Rhode					Recomme	nded grade	:	Done		
Ron Regan					Recomme	nded grade	: C+ Ŭ	Done Done		
1 0 N 1 2 0	1 2	2 2 2	N	2	2 N	0 1	2			
Betty White					Recomme	nded grade	: A ĭ	Done		
N 1 0 1 N 1	1 2			1 N	NO	0	N N			

The Recommended Grade is a drop down of possible letter grades situated above the array of scores for each attribute. This field is not available for the Early Childhood version of the STAR.

Check Done only when you have completed your evaluation, and entered a Recommended Grade.

The <u>Log Out</u> tab is the last tab before actually logging out. To end your session please remember to Log Out for security. You may return at any time to continue your evaluations by entering your PIN at the <u>Login</u> screen.

		≙ v									
E An	nazon Library-Lynda Dropbox MediaHu	b KU Zoom B&H Pl	noto How Tos 🗸	Qualtrics ~ Perso	nal 🖌 KU sites 🗸	Filemaker ~					
STAR (master.soe.ku.edu) +											
Done for now?							Log Out				
Thank you for evaluatin	g your teacher candidate(5).									
You can return to this o deadline on [date].	nline resource and continu	e your evalua	tions until t	he							
You must click the "Log	Out" button below to end	your session.									
Log Out											
Log out											